## Library Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes December 9, 2013

Present: Dr. Scott Breloff, Dicori Bruch, Dr. Tracey Collins, Dr. Carol A. Cote', Dr. Marian L. Farrell, Chair, Mrs. Sharon A. Finnerty, rof. David Freidrichs, Dr. Darla Germeroth, Dr. Mary Goldschmidt, Mr. Eugeniu Grigorescup, Michael Knies, Dean Charles Kratz, Dr. Erica Lasek-Nesselquist, Dr. Marew LaZella, Assistant Dean Jean Lenville, Dr. Bob McCloskey, Prof. Sheli McHugh, Prof. Betsky pylan, Prof. Bonnie W. Oldham, Dr. Bill Parente, Dr. Christos Pargian, Dr. Adam Pratt, Associale an Bonnie Strohl, Prof. Narda Tafuri, Dr. Ben Willis, Prof. Donna Witek, Prof. risten Yarmey, and Dr. John M. Zych. Unable to attend: Ms. Taryn Anthony, Prof. Meorge Aulisio, Dr. Michael W. Fennie, Dr. Teresa Grettano, Dr. Barry X. Kuhle, Dr. Davalerno, Dr. Yamile Silvia, and Dr. Maria Squire.

The meeting came to order at 12:05 p.m. Msolstsaid there was a correction to the minutes: In the sentence: Dean Kratz said that amythhat effects accreditati would be maintained, effects should be affects. On a motion by Bheloff, seconded by Prof. Moylan, the minutes were approved withis correction.

Dean Kratz introduced Dr. Goldschmidt ambwed her Faculty Development, CTLE page.

At the last Library Advisory Committee Meetingean Kratz said thathe Library was planning for a \$50,000 cut to its budget. The cut willrealized by examining usage statistics for a databases and cutting those with low usee Provost informed the Deans that it will be necessary to cut 3% from their budgets. Additional \$35,000 needs to be cut which requires taking back funds from those added to departments. Libraray culty are looking at various options on how to accomplish this equitably. Titerary will contact departments who will need to make decisions based on reduced funding earthy process. Dean Kratz asked for volunteers for a Library Allocatin Subcommittee, hopefully including one from each college. The following Committee Members volunteered: rota Tafuri, Betsey Moylan, Adam Pratt, Erica Lasek-Nesselquist, Scott Breloff, Tracy CosliMarian Farrell and Bonnie Strohl. Since 1991, there had only been one antibe at revising the allocation formula by weighting for graduate students. Revision of the formatia uld take new programs and graduate programs into account. Librarians will research otherotals for allocation for funds. The committee will meet during the Spring 2014 semester and madagemmendations to the Library Advisory Committee.

The Committee endorsed lending media to student

Learning Commons Committee ethibitorary Faculty and todayith the Library Advisory Committee. The WML + 10 group undertook a SWanalysis (strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats). Plans based or athes sis are ambitious but feasible. Common themes focused around: facilitie collection, resources and siees; integration into the curriculum; staffing; partnerships; assessment; fanding. Key points reflet the Library's core mission implemented differently. (attach slides)

Dean Kratz reviewed the atthed document from the Library Advisory WML + 10 group. (attach document)

Dr. Farrell thanked the participants from bgtbups. She asked that if anyone thinks of something missing from the reports, she/he seemah DK ratz or herself an email. Dean Kratz will review a combined document with Intermovost Dr. Harrington and take the result to a Faculty Forum to get the broadest possible into that ave a final document ready for the new provost. As work proceeds on facilitate sclission of the two presentations. Discussion included Old Loyola as a space for relocation of soonlections or other library services. The Archives/Special Collection as a self-contained was discussed. Considations include loadbearing capacity of the building and security collection. Another toic was relocating some of the book collection to atellite collections which raised questions of staffing and maintenance for access to these materials. mlesof the collection were removed to remote storage, what would be the turnaround timer to rieving items? Would PALCI E-Z Borrow be just as effective? While more students are doing their own devices, labs elsewhere on campus are likely to decrease with the result that itnitsikely that computer labs in the Library will decrease. Could the informatibite racy labs be relocated topeate space to bring the CTLE to the third floor? Others felt the CTLE should be on the r12<sup>nd</sup> floor. Opening the stand 2<sup>nd</sup> floor for 24 hour access would not be difficult dibutary faculty present felt that this was a worthwhile tradeoff for the Reference contion of costly books to be secured.

Current statistics on Library holdings and circulation indicate the ectronic books circulate more heavily than the print dection. This data is generated by

Prof. Yarmey asked that if anyohad anything to add, he/she sented ither to be folded into the document.

The February meeting agenda will include **infa**tion on the budget and the next steps in the WML + 10 planning process.

There being no further business, with wishesafbappy holiday, the meeting adjourned at 1:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted, Bonnie Strohl